

Observation of Current-Driven Features of 2.5 MeV Ion Bunch With Complete and Efficient 5D Measurements at the SNS Beam Test Facility



60 keV

(Linear color scale)

Kiersten Ruisard (ruisardkj@ornl.gov), A. Aleksandrov, S. Cousineau, A. Hoover, A. Zhukov Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

Motivation and Approach

Measurements of SNS 2.5 MeV H⁻ bunch reveals core hollowing



distributions are above the halo level.[1,2] Better predictive capability will support better accelerator performance. A universal limitation is complete information about the initial beam distribution.



Model/measurement comparison including halo distribution

The SNS Beam Test Facility enables advanced diagnosis of beam distributions, including full and direct 6D measurement [3] as well as 2D phase space imaging with resolution of beam halo down to 10⁻⁶ fractional levels [4].







RFQ output current, on a grid of size 43x43x43x512x612.



Slices through measured distribution reveal space-charge driven hollowing in beam core. Slices have width $\delta w = 2$ keV, $\delta y = 0.2$ mm.

m'

-5

0

yp [mrad]



Internal hollowing is obscured in fully projected views. (logarithmic contours)



0

x [mm]

[mm]

 \geq

-10

-10



0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

Method









10

Hollowing is observed to be dependent on beam current (see Ref [3]). This can be understood as a signature of space charge nonlinear forces (above reproduced from Ref [5])

Outlook + Future Work

Beam test facility uses a slit scan method to map high-dimensional distributions of 2.5 MeV H⁻ bunch.



Commissioning of new RFQ will enable access to higher bunch

The schematic shows configuration for 5D measurement, $f_{5D} = \int d\phi f(x, x', y, y', \phi, w)$ where $w = E - E_0$

- 3 slits + 2 camera axes = 3D raster scan \bullet
- 65x65x65x512x612 grid takes 16 hours at 5 Hz

This is a significant performance increase from full 6D:

- 4 slits + 1 wire + 1 camera axis = 5D raster scan
- 10x10x32x10x512x12 grid takes 24 hours at 5 Hz \bullet

References

[1] J. Qiang, P.L. Colestock, D. Gilpatrick, H.V. Smith, T.P. Wangler & M.E. Schulze doi: 10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.5.124201

[2] Aleksandrov, A., Cousineau, S., & Ruisard, K. (2020) doi: 10.1088/1748-0221/15/07/P07025 [3] B. Cathey, S. Cousineau, A. Aleksandrov, & A. Zhukov, (2018) doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.064804. [4] Aleksandrov, A., Cousineau, S., Ruisard, K., & Zhukov, A. (2021) doi: 10.1016/j.nima.2020.164829 [5] K. Ruisard & A. Aleksandrov, (2021) doi: 10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.24.014201. [6] PARMTEQ; K. R. Crandall & T. P. Wangler, (1988) AIP Conf. Proc., vol. 177, no. 1, pp. 22–28. [7] PyORBIT; A. Shishlo, S. Cousineau, J. Holmes, & T. Gorlov, (2015) doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2015.05.312.



charge via better transmission, $60 \rightarrow 80\% (35 \rightarrow 50 \text{ mA})$

De-correlating the planes in the initial bunch produces very different predictions at end of SNS drift tube linac.

Acknowledgements

This work relies on expertise and support from SNS Operations and the Research Accelerator Division at ORNL, particularly from Beam Instrumentation, Front End Systems, and Mechanical Engineering groups. This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics. This work has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-000R22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. This research used resources at the Spallation Neutron Source, a DOE Office of Science User Facility operated by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.